



US010416322B1

(12) **United States Patent**
Newman

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 10,416,322 B1**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Sep. 17, 2019**

(54) **ONE-DIMENSIONAL DIRECTIONAL SHIELDLESS PARTICLE DETECTOR**

(71) Applicant: **David Edward Newman**, Poway, CA (US)

(72) Inventor: **David Edward Newman**, Poway, CA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 40 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **16/147,734**

(22) Filed: **Sep. 29, 2018**

Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Provisional application No. 62/738,645, filed on Sep. 28, 2018, provisional application No. 62/726,295, filed on Sep. 2, 2018, provisional application No. 62/661,072, filed on Apr. 22, 2018, provisional application No. 62/626,115, filed on Feb. 4, 2018.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
G01T 1/208 (2006.01)
G01T 1/29 (2006.01)
G01V 5/00 (2006.01)
G01T 3/06 (2006.01)
G01T 1/20 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC **G01T 1/208** (2013.01); **G01T 1/20** (2013.01); **G01T 1/29** (2013.01); **G01T 3/06** (2013.01); **G01V 5/00** (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC G01T 1/2018; G01T 1/2907; G01T 1/20
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,047,721 A	9/1959	Folsom	
3,581,090 A	5/1971	Brown	
4,037,105 A	7/1977	Laurer	
5,354,084 A	9/1994	Byrd	
5,665,970 A	9/1997	Kronenberg	
5,880,469 A	3/1999	Miller	
6,392,236 B1	5/2002	Maekawa	
6,433,335 B1	8/2002	Kronenberg	
6,566,657 B2	5/2003	Odom	
6,639,210 B2	10/2003	Odom	
7,521,686 B2	4/2009	Stuenkel	
7,655,912 B2	2/2010	Shirakawa	
7,745,800 B1 *	6/2010	McGinnis G01T 3/08 250/370.05
7,952,079 B2	5/2011	Neustadter	
7,994,482 B2	8/2011	Frank	


(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Yara B Green

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A device for determining the location of a source of radiation, based on data acquired at a single orientation of the device without iteration or rotations. Embodiments may comprise two side detector panels positioned closely parallel to each other and adjacent to each other, plus a front detector positioned orthogonally in front of the side detectors, without collimators or shields. The various detectors have contrasting angular sensitivities, so that a predetermined angular correlation function can determine the sign and magnitude of the source angle according to the detection rates of the front and side detectors. Embodiments enable rapid detection and localization of nuclear and radiological weapon materials for greatly improved inspection of cargo containers and personnel. Advanced detectors such as those disclosed herein will be needed in the coming decades to protect against clandestine weapon transport.

20 Claims, 19 Drawing Sheets



(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

8,030,617	B2	10/2011	Enghardt	
8,067,742	B2	11/2011	Winso	
8,198,600	B2	6/2012	Neustadter	
8,247,776	B2	8/2012	Peng	
8,319,188	B2	11/2012	Ramsden	
8,866,100	B1	10/2014	Marleau	
8,930,165	B2	1/2015	Vilim	
9,012,855	B2	4/2015	Speller	
9,158,012	B2	10/2015	Willis	
9,529,096	B2	12/2016	Yamashita	
9,575,189	B2	2/2017	Groves	
9,864,074	B1*	1/2018	Newman G01T 1/2907
2003/0165211	A1	7/2003	Grodzins	
2005/0121618	A1	6/2005	Fowler	
2008/0048123	A1	2/2008	Larsson	
2009/0166549	A1	7/2009	Czirr	
2010/0006769	A1	1/2010	Kraft	
2011/0303854	A1*	12/2011	DeVito G01T 7/00 250/394
2012/0132814	A1*	5/2012	Weinberg G01V 5/0075 250/362
2013/0256538	A1	10/2013	Vogtmeier	
2014/0374606	A1	12/2014	Gendotti	
2017/0261623	A1	9/2017	Florido	
2018/0321418	A1	11/2018	Kusner	

* cited by examiner

Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig. 5




Fig. 6




Fig. 7




Fig. 8




Fig. 9




Fig. 10




Fig. 11




Fig. 12




Fig. 13




Fig. 14




Fig. 15




Fig. 16




Fig. 17




Fig. 18




Fig. 19




Fig. 20




Fig. 21




Fig. 22




Fig. 23




Fig. 24




Fig. 25





Fig. 26



ONE-DIMENSIONAL DIRECTIONAL SHIELDLESS PARTICLE DETECTOR

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 62/626,115 filed on Feb. 4, 2018, and U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 62/661,072 filed on Apr. 22, 2018, and U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 62/726,295 filed on Sep. 2, 2018, and U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 62/738,645 filed on Sep. 28, 2018, the entire disclosures of which are incorporated by reference as part of the specification of this application.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to nuclear weapon detection. More particularly, the present invention is directed in one exemplary aspect to a radiation detector that determines the direction of a radiation source in one dimension.

BACKGROUND

The easiest way to attack a country with a nuclear weapon is by shipping the weapon to the target nation as cargo. A rogue state or terrorist organization that manages to acquire a nuclear weapon could pack it into a maritime cargo container, railcar, truck, or even a large van. Once inside the target nation, the adversary could sequester the weapon in an urban environment, a government center, or adjacent to a military base for unlimited extortion or a devastating attack at any moment.

Nuclear weapons are hard to detect. They are radioactive but not highly so, and standard shielding can reduce the radiation signature further. The primary signature of a nuclear weapon is MeV-range neutrons and gamma rays, along with low-energy neutrons moderated by surrounding materials. The main inspection challenge is to detect these particles in the presence of natural backgrounds and benign radioactive substances that are commonly present in cargo and in the environment.

A major advantage would be a gamma ray or neutron detector that indicates where the radiation is coming from. The directional information would greatly enhance the statistical power of each detection, since a small number of particles all coming from a specific location would trigger a secondary inspection immediately.

What is needed, then, is an efficient detector of gamma rays or neutrons or both, with high sensitivity in the energy ranges expected for clandestine weapons, and capable of determining the location of the source, rapidly and without searching or iteration. Such a detector should have sufficient sensitivity to localize even a well-shielded nuclear weapon among clutter and obfuscation, automatically, and at low cost. Such a detector would greatly enhance security against clandestine nuclear and radiological weapons, and would also speed up legitimate border traffic by passing clean loads quickly. And, when a secondary inspection is triggered, the inspectors could use the indicated source location as a starting point.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Disclosed herein is a device for detecting and locating of a radioactive source. In one embodiment, the device

includes two side detectors, each side detector comprising a slab configured to emit a signal upon detecting an energetic electron or ion, wherein the two side detectors are positioned parallel to and adjacent to an aiming plane that extends from the back to the front of the device, a front detector comprising a slab configured to emit a signal upon detecting an energetic electron or ion, wherein the front detector has a width-to-thickness ratio of at least 4, is oriented perpendicular to the aiming plane, and is positioned frontward of the side detectors, and a processor comprising digital electronics configured to be communicatively coupled to the side detectors and the front detector, wherein the processor is further configured to determine, at least in part based on signals associated with the side detectors, the sign of the angle between the aiming plane and the radioactive source, and to determine the magnitude of the angle between the aiming plane and the radioactive source by performing a method that includes measuring particle detection rates in the front detector and in the side detectors respectively, subtracting the detection rate of one of the side detectors from the detection rate of the other side detector, thereby obtaining a differential, dividing the differential by the detection rate of the front detector, thereby obtaining a ratio, and comparing the ratio to a predetermined angular correlation function that relates particle directions to particle detection data.

These and other embodiments are described in further detail with reference to the figures and accompanying detailed description as provided below.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

FIG. 1 is a top-view sketch in cross-section of an exemplary device according to the disclosure comprising two side detectors, an orthogonal front detector, and a processor according to some embodiments.

FIG. 2 is a perspective sketch, partially exploded, of the device of FIG. 1, pointing toward the viewer, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 3 is a cross-section sketch of an exemplary device, including front detector overhang, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 4 is a cross-section sketch of an exemplary device with three scintillators of different types, all coupled to a common light sensor, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 5 is a cross-section sketch of an exemplary device with separate left-front and right-front detectors which are optically coupled to the side detectors, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 6 is a cross-section sketch of an exemplary device with two stacked front detectors, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 7 is a cross-section sketch of an exemplary device including a front detector and a back detector, configured to measure radiation from all sides at once, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 8 is a cross-section sketch of an exemplary device including left-front and left-back detectors coupled to the left-side detector, and right-front and right-back detectors coupled to the right-side detector, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 9 is a sketch in perspective, partially exploded, of an exemplary device with separate front detectors mounted orthogonal to each other, configured to determine both horizontal and vertical angles of the source, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 10 is a sketch in perspective, partially exploded, of an exemplary device with four orthogonal detectors positioned in front, back, top, and bottom of the device, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 11 is a sketch in perspective, partially exploded, of an exemplary device with a horizontal aiming plane and with six orthogonal detectors surrounding the device, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 12 is a top-view cross-section sketch of an exemplary embodiment of the device including thin counters overlaid on the front and side detectors, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 13 is a perspective sketch, partially exploded, showing how the embodiment of FIG. 12 may be assembled, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 14 is a cross-section sketch of an exemplary embodiment of the device in which the side detectors are beveled at an angle relative to the aiming plane, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 15 is a cross-section sketch of an exemplary embodiment of the device in which the side detectors include rounded front and back surfaces, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 16 is a cross-section sketch of an exemplary embodiment of the device including embedded counters in the side detectors, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 17 is a cross-section sketch of an exemplary embodiment of the device including embedded counters in the side detectors with separate light sensors, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 18 is a perspective sketch of an exemplary embodiment of a vehicle inspection station incorporating the device, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 19 is a cross-section sketch of an exemplary embodiment of a vehicle inspection station including cosmic ray tracking chambers.

FIG. 20 is a perspective sketch of an exemplary embodiment of a portable directional survey meter, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 21 is a sketch in perspective, partly cut-away, of an exemplary embodiment of a walk-through portal with multiple copies of the device arranged in the walls of the portal, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 22 is a sketch in perspective, partly cut-away, of an exemplary embodiment of a mobile radiation scanner containing multiple copies of the device, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 23 is a graph from an MCNP6 gamma ray simulation showing the angular correlation function that relates the source angle to the counting rate ratio, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 24 is a graph from an MCNP6 neutron simulation showing the angular correlation function that relates the source angle to the counting rate ratio, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 25 is a flowchart showing steps of an exemplary method for calculating the source angle and rotating the device to verify, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 26 is a flowchart showing steps of an exemplary staged analysis method to optimize the use of low detection rates, according to some embodiments.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF INVENTION

In the following description, reference is made to the accompanying drawings in which it is shown by way of illustration specific embodiments in which the invention can

be practiced. Not all of the described components are necessarily drawn to scale in order to emphasize certain features and to better facilitate the reader's conception of the disclosed embodiments. It is to be understood that other embodiments can be used and structural changes can be made without departing from the scope of the embodiments of disclosed herein.

Disclosed herein is a directional radiation detector device (the "device") for localizing clandestine nuclear weapons and any other radioactive source or sources to be localized (the "source"). In some embodiments, the device may be configured to detect gamma rays or neutrons or both (the "particles") from the source, and may indicate the angle of the source relative to the device, including the sign and magnitude of the angle (the "source angle"), thereby locating the source in one dimension such as the horizontal dimension. Embodiments of the device can determine the source angle from detection data acquired at a single orientation of the device, with high detection efficiency and superior angular resolution. For security against clandestine radioactive threats, the ability to detect and localize a source rapidly is an enabling improvement.

In some embodiments, the device may comprise two parallel slab-shaped detectors (the "side detectors"), with a third slab-shaped detector (the "front detector") positioned orthogonally in front of the device, and an electronic processor, with no shield or collimator. The processor may be configured to analyze the particle detections and determine the source angle, including the sign and magnitude of the angle of the source relative to the device. The side detectors may be positioned parallel to and adjacent to an "aiming plane" comprising a plane extending from the back to the front of the device. The side detectors may be adjacent to each other, or substantially in contact with each other aside from intervening wrappings and the like. The "midplane" is a plane passing through the center of the device, and orthogonal to the aiming plane, and orthogonal to the front detector; thus the aiming plane, the midplane, and the front detector are all mutually perpendicular. The side detectors and the front detector (collectively "the detectors") may be substantially planar slabs configured to emit a signal upon detecting the particles, or more specifically to emit an electrical or optical pulsed signal when traversed or partially traversed by an energetic electron or ion subsequent to an interaction by a source-emitted neutron or gamma ray. For example, the detectors may comprise scintillator type detectors, or semiconductor detectors such as reverse-biased diodes, or gaseous ionization detectors such as Geiger or proportional chambers. The detector pulse may comprise a light pulse for scintillator type detectors, or a charge or current pulse for semiconductor or gaseous ionization type detectors. Each detector may include, or be connected to, a sensor comprising a transducer configured to convert the raw detector pulses into electronic signals that the processor can analyze. For example, if a detector is a scintillator, the sensor may be a light sensor such as a photomultiplier tube or a photodiode, and if the detector is a semiconductor or gaseous ionization type of detector, the sensor may be an amplifier along with other electronics.

In some embodiments, the detectors may be configured to produce distinct signals, such as signals on separate conductors or signals having different pulse shapes, so that the processor can determine which signal is associated with which detector. The processor may comprise digital electronics, and optionally analog electronics, configured to analyze signals from the detectors or their associated sensors, and thereby calculate the source angle from the signals.

The analysis may include using a predetermined angular correlation function that relates the source angle to the signals from the various detectors.

In some embodiments, the device may have one-dimensional directionality, in that it can determine one vectorial component of the source location relative to the aiming plane. The source angle may comprise the angle between the aiming plane and a vector that extends from the device to the source location. The device may determine the full sign and magnitude of the source angle from data acquired at a single device orientation, without rotating or moving the device. The device may be configured to calculate the source angle by inserting detection data, such as particle counting rates, into a formula that correlates the source angle to the detection data. The detectors may all have different angular sensitivities, and the formula may be configured to determine the source angle according to the contrasting angular sensitivities of the various detectors. In many applications, the angle of interest is the horizontal angle of the source, in which case the device may be oriented with the aiming plane vertical so as to measure the horizontal angle of the source. In other applications, the vertical angle of the source is to be determined, in which case the device may be reoriented (specifically, rolled) by 90 degrees to measure the vertical angle of the source.

In some applications, the initial determination of the source angle, sign and magnitude, is sufficient to localize the source. In other applications, the device may be required to finally aim directly at the source, in which case the device can first determine the source angle from an initial orientation, and then can be rotated according to the calculated angle, thereby pointing toward the source. Embodiments of the present device can be brought into alignment with the source in a single rotation by the calculated source angle, without searching or iteration. In addition, embodiments can directly detect when the aiming plane is aligned with the source by determining that the side detectors have nearly the same counting rate.

FIG. 1 is a cross-section sketch of an embodiment of the device including two side detectors **101** (shown in light stipple), a front detector **103** (dark stipple), and a processor **105**. The device is pointing to the right in this view, and in all of the sketches unless otherwise specified. Also shown is the aiming plane **108** as a dash line, since it is viewed edge-on in this top view. The midplane is not shown because it lies in the plane of the figure. A source **110** is indicated by a star, and a particle **111** as an arrow. The source angle **112** of about 30 degrees is indicated as an arc. The side and front detectors **101** and **103** may be configured to emit signals **104** upon detecting the particles **111**, and to convey those signals **104** to the processor **105**, which may be configured to analyze the signals **104** and calculate the source angle **112**. More specifically, the processor **105** may be configured to calculate the difference in counting rates of the side detectors **101**, divide by the front detector **103** counting rate, and compare the resulting ratio to a predetermined angular correlation function, thereby determining the source angle **112**. Due to the contrasting angular sensitivities of the detectors, the front detector **103** enables the source angle **112** to be determined faster and with higher precision than could be obtained from the side detectors **101** alone, particularly when the source angle **112** is near 90 degrees, according to some embodiments. Also, the front detector **103** breaks the front-back ambiguity and can indicate whether the source **110** is in front or behind the device. In this way the device can determine the specific horizontal location of the source **110**, from -90 to $+90$ degrees, using data acquired at a single

orientation of the device. For example, the device can also detect and precisely localize an alternate source **120** at a high angle of about 85 degrees, without rotations or iteration.

The side detectors **101** and the front detector **103** may be of any type suitable for the particle **111** being detected. In an exemplary embodiment, the detectors **101** and **103** may be configured to interact with the particle **111** and thereby generate secondary particles (“secondaries”), such as Compton electrons from gamma ray interactions, or recoil protons from fast neutron scattering, or reaction ions from slow neutron capture.

The side detectors **101** may have any thickness that provides sufficient radiation detection efficiency. In some embodiments, the best angular resolution may be obtained when the thickness of the side detector **101** is substantially less than its length in the front-back dimension; however, adequate detection efficiency requires that the side detector **101** be as thick as possible. Therefore, the optimal thickness of the side detectors **101** may be a compromise between angular resolution and detection efficiency. Good performance can usually be obtained with a rectangular cross-section side detector **101** of thickness in the range of 0.1 to 0.5 times the length of the side detector **101**, although designers may select different side detector geometries for specific purposes. Examples of configurations with different side detector shapes are provided below.

The side detectors **101** may be sufficiently thick to block or attenuate a particular fraction (the “blocking fraction”) of the particles **111** incident orthogonally on the side detector **101**. In some embodiments, the side detectors **101** may be configured with a particular blocking fraction according to the expected range of source angles in the application. For example, in applications wherein the particles **111** are expected to arrive mainly from the front, a blocking fraction of 20% to 30% may be sufficient to provide distinct detections in the two side detectors **101** because most of the particles **111** arriving from the front will encounter a path-length of detector material which is tangentially longer than the thickness. If the application involves particles **111** arriving from a wider range of angles, a blocking fraction of 40% to 50% may provide sufficient contrast. If the particles **111** are expected to arrive mainly from the sides, a higher blocking fraction of 60% to 90% may be desirable. As used herein, the particle **111** is blocked by one of the side detectors **101** if no detectable radiation passes through to the other side detector **101**.

For example, any radiation passing through one of the side detectors **101**, such as scattered or secondary particles, must total less energy than a detection criterion, so that the particle **111** would not be detected by the downstream side detector **101**. The detection criterion is typically 10% of the energy of the initial particle **111**.

In an exemplary embodiment, the signals **104** may comprise electrical pulses or optical pulses or other pulses according to the type of detectors **101** and **103** involved. The detectors **101** and **103** may include sensors comprising transducer means for converting one type of signal into another type, such as a photomultiplier or photodiode that converts light pulses into electrical pulses. The processor **105** may comprise digital electronics and optionally analog electronics, and may be configured to analyze the signals **104** and thereby calculate the source angle **112**. For example, the processor **105** may include an amplifier or an electronic filter or a pulse-shape discriminator or other analog electronics. The processor **105** may further include a predetermined angular correlation function comprising data stored on non-transient computer-readable media and con-

figured to relate the source angle **112** to detection data of the detectors **101** and **103**. The predetermined angular correlation function may comprise any functional or tabular or analytical or graphical data set that relates the source angle **112** to the detection data. The processor **105** may also be configured to apply corrections for backgrounds and/or detector efficiencies to each detector **101** and **103** individually, preferably before the angular analysis is performed. For example, the processor **105** may be configured to reject, for the angle determination, any events in which both of the side detectors **101** produce signals simultaneously because these events are likely due to cosmic rays. On the other hand, events in which the particle **111** deposits energy in both of the side detectors **101** may be advantageous for determining the energy of the incoming particle **111**, as discussed below.

The processor **105** may be embedded in the device, or mounted proximate to the detectors, or mounted externally and some distance from the detectors. There may be two separate processors, such as an embedded microcontroller in the device and a facility computer elsewhere. In some embodiments, the detectors **101** and **103** may include analog electronics such as filters and amplifiers, plus digital electronics such as an embedded microcontroller for pulse shape analysis or other tasks. In other embodiments, the processor **105** may include analog signal-processing electronics as well as digital electronics and logic. The processor **105** may further indicate the calculated source direction using a human-readable display or indicator. The processor may store the results in a non-transitory computer-readable record. The processor may transmit the results to an external computer.

In some embodiments, the front detector **103** may be wide enough to cover the side detectors **101**. Alternatively, the front detector **103** may overhang or extend laterally beyond the side detectors **101**, thereby obtaining a higher detection efficiency. As a further alternative, the front detector **103** may be smaller than the array of side detectors **101**, thereby reducing obscuration of the side detectors **101** and thus increasing the detection efficiency of the side detectors **101**. In preferred embodiments, the front detector **103** may have a width-to-thickness ratio of at least 4, where the width is measured perpendicular to the aiming plane **108**, and the thickness is measured parallel to the aiming plane **108** in the front-to-back direction. In some embodiments, the width and thickness of the front detector **103** may be related to the particle interaction distance. The particle interaction distance is the average distance that the particles **111** travel in the detector material before detectably interacting. The particle interaction distance may be the inverse mass attenuation factor for gamma rays, or the average scattering distance for fast neutrons, or the projected mean free path for capture of slow neutrons. For example, the width of the front detector **103** may be a multiple, such as 2, times the particle interaction distance, while the thickness may be a fraction, such as $\frac{1}{2}$, times the particle interaction distance. When so configured, the front detector **103** can provide greater detection efficiency for particles **111** arriving from the front than from the side, as desired. The width-to-thickness ratio of the front detector **103** may thereby determine the angular correlation function from which the source angle **112** is calculated.

In some embodiments, the device can determine whether the source **110** is in front or behind the detector. Since the front detector **103** is positioned frontward of the device, the front detector **103** has a higher counting rate when the source **110** is in front of the device, and a lower rate when the source **110** is behind the device. For example, the processor **105**

may be configured to calculate the front detector rate divided by the sum of the two side detector rates, and may compare that result to the expected range of values for a source **110** in front versus behind the device, and thereby determine whether the source **110** is in the front or rear halfspace.

In some embodiments, the front detector **103** may partially block the incoming particles **111** from reaching the side detectors **101**. The front detector **103** may be configured to pass a fraction of the incident particles **111** without interacting so that they can be detected in the side detectors **101**. In general there are three main possibilities: (1) An incoming particle **111** may pass right through the front detector **103** without interacting, and then be detected in the side detector **101**. (2) The incoming particle **111** may interact in the front detector **103** and be stopped or backscattered or otherwise blocked from reaching the side detector **101**. (3) The particle **111** may scatter in the front detector **103** and be detected there, and then the scattered particle or its secondaries may continue in about the same direction and be counted in the side detector **101**. For example, an incoming gamma ray can Compton scatter in the front detector **103**, and then the scattered gamma ray can be detected in whichever side detector **101** that it was headed toward. Thus one of the side detectors **101** and the front detector **103** are triggered at about the same time, in which case the processor **105** may be configured to count both the front and side detector **103** and **101** signals as valid events. For example, the front detector **103** may be thick enough so that 50% of the incoming particles pass through the front detector **103** without interacting, 25% scatter and are detected in the front detector **103** and then continue on to be detected again in the side detectors **101**, and the remaining 25% are detected in the front detector **103** and are absorbed there. Thus the side detectors **101** can detect 75% of the particles while the front detector **103** can detect 50% of the incoming particles. This adds up to more than 100% because the events with detections in both the front **103** and side **101** detectors are counted twice.

In the case of neutrons, a fast neutron may pass through the front detector **103** without interacting and then be detected in the side detector **101**; or the neutron could scatter in the front detector **103** and then continue on to be detected in the side detector **101**; or it could scatter out of the front detector **103** and miss the side detectors **101** entirely. Thus a fast neutron could trigger the front detector **103**, or the side detector **101**, or both the front and side detectors **103** and **101** at once. Again, events with a pulse in the front and side detectors **103** and **101** may be counted as valid events.

Slow or low-energy neutrons are generally detected by neutron capture reactions which do not produce a scattered or secondary neutron. The ions emitted from a neutron capture reaction have extremely short path lengths in matter. Therefore, slow neutron events cannot produce valid counts in both the front detector **103** and the side detector **101** at the same time. To compensate, embodiments for slow neutron detection may be configured with a thinner front detector **103**, such as a front detector configured to capture only 33% of the incident slow neutrons, and thereby allow additional slow neutrons to pass through to the side detectors **101**.

In some embodiments, the processor **105** may be configured to reject events in which both of the side detectors **101** are active at the same time, since these are usually due to cosmic rays or to particles **111** that scatter from one of the side detectors **101** and into the other side detector **101**. The processor may also reject events in which the detection pulse height is too large since these are likely due to cosmic rays arriving vertically and passing through an extended region

of the detector, resulting in large pulses. For example, a scintillator may have a vertical dimension of typically 5 to 15 cm for a small device. Depending on the cosmic ray angle, the cosmic ray may deposit energy throughout a substantial pathlength of scintillator, at least 3 cm typically for a 1 cm thick detector. Fast muons drop about 2 MeV per gram/cm² of material traversed, or at least 6 MeV in 3 cm of plastic scintillator. Most of the gammas from nuclear weapon materials are much lower in energy, typically 1-2 MeV. Therefore, even with the relatively poor energy resolution of plastic scintillators, most of the cosmic rays can be rejected by a threshold cut at 3 to 4 MeV.

The side and front detectors **101** and **103** may be selected according to the type of particle **111**. To detect gamma rays, for example, the side detectors **101** may comprise low-cost plastic scintillator material, while the front detector **103**, being much thinner, may be made from a high-density scintillator such as CdWO₄ or BGO, according to some embodiments. Although inorganic scintillators often cost more than plastic, the higher detection efficiency of the denser material may partially compensate for the small volume of the front detector **103**, resulting in faster localization of sources.

For detecting fast neutrons, the detectors **101** and **103** may comprise plastic scintillators, but preferably with a fluor that discriminates recoil proton tracks from electron tracks, so as to reject gamma ray backgrounds. Alternatively, the side detectors may comprise a hydrogenous transparent material such as acrylic, with ZnS and preferably a wavelength shifter to assist in light collection. As another option, the front and side detectors **103** and **101** may comprise an elpasolite or other ionization-dependent scintillator, configured to emit different pulses according to the ionization density of the charged-particle secondaries, and thereby discriminate between gamma rays and neutrons.

For detecting slow (thermal or epithermal) neutrons, the front and side detectors **103** and **101** may comprise a hydrogenous material such as borated PVT, or a ZnS-based transparent material loaded with boron or lithium to capture the neutrons. Alternatively, the detectors **101** and **103** may comprise a transparent hydrogenous matrix such as PMMA (polymethylmethacrylate) loaded with microbeads of scintillator that contain, or are proximate to, a capture nuclide such as Li or B (preferably enriched in ¹⁰B or ⁶Li). The microbeads may be sized according to the range of the emitted ions, which is typically a few microns to a few tens of microns, so that the kinetic energy of the ions will be efficiently collected in each scintillator microbead. The microbeads may be spaced apart by one to a few millimeters so that Compton electrons will usually pass through at most one of the microbeads, producing a negligible light pulse. In this way the composite microbead scintillator can efficiently detect low energy neutrons while being nearly blind to gamma rays.

As an exemplary embodiment for detection of 1 MeV gamma rays, the side detectors **101** may comprise PVT plastic with a thickness of 25 mm and length of 80 mm. "Length" refers to the size of the side detectors **101** in a direction going from the back to front of the device. The "height" is measured in the third dimension, perpendicular to the midplane. The height of the side detectors **101** is arbitrary, but 5 to 20 cm may be a suitable choice for a portable unit. For a fixed-site vehicle scanner, the height may be much larger, up to four meters assuming the signals can be collected efficiently throughout the detector **101**. Such a tall detector can scan the entire vehicle or inspection zone at once. The front detector **103** may be a CdWO₄

scintillator with dimensions 6 mm thick by 70 mm wide, and oriented perpendicular to the side detectors **101** as shown in the sketch.

In some embodiments, the side detectors **101** may comprise spectroscopic type scintillation or semiconductor detectors in which the signal amplitude or integral charge is proportional to the amount of energy deposited by the incident particle. Preferably the energy uncertainty is at most 10%, wherein the energy uncertainty is the full-width-at-half-maximum of the full-energy peak divided by the particle energy. The energy data may identify the source composition, and may also enable source particles **111** to be distinguished from background radiation.

In some embodiments, the processor **105** may be configured to calculate the source angle **112** according to the different and contrasting angular sensitivity distributions of the various detectors **101** and **103**. The angular sensitivity of a detector is the detection rate as a function of the source angle **112**. The angular sensitivity of the front detector **103** may be determined by the shape and perpendicular orientation of the front detector **103**, whereas the angular sensitivities of the side detectors **101** may be determined by the blocking fraction of the other side detector **101**. Each side detector **101** may thus have a strongly antisymmetric angular sensitivity, while the front detector **103** may have a symmetrical angular sensitivity, relative to the aiming plane **108**. Exploiting that difference, a unique angular correlation function can be prepared, relating the source angle **112** to the detection rates. Each source angle **112** may correspond to a unique ratio of counting rates in the detectors, and therefore the source angle **112** can be determined from an appropriate analysis of the detection rates at a single orientation, without the need for iteration or rotations. In this way the device can greatly speed up the source detection and localization process by determining the sign and magnitude of the source angle **112** simultaneously and automatically, at any orientation of the device.

FIG. 2 is a perspective sketch, partially exploded, of the embodiment of FIG. 1. The device is pointing toward the viewer's right side and out of the page. The device may include two side detectors **201** adjacent to each other, and oriented parallel to each other and to the aiming plane **208**, and a front detector **203** positioned orthogonally across the front of the device and perpendicular to the side detectors **201** and perpendicular to the aiming plane **208**. The aiming plane **208**, shown in dash, is the plane of symmetry of the device, passing through the center of the device. In one embodiment, four small sensors **206**, such as photodiodes or amplifiers, may be mounted on the corners of the front detector **203**. Additional sensors **216**, such as phototubes, may be coupled to the side detectors **201** respectively.

FIG. 3 is a cross-section view of an exemplary embodiment of the device, with improvements. In the sketch, two side detectors **301** are closely parallel to each other, with the front detector **303** orthogonally in front of the device. The detectors **301** and **303** are scintillators in this case. The side detectors **301**, shown here in cross-hatch, may comprise energy-resolving detectors configured to measure the amount of energy deposited therein by particle interactions and their secondaries. Preferably the energy uncertainty of each side detector **301** is at most 10%, where the energy uncertainty is the ratio of the full width at half-maximum of the full energy peak, divided by the energy of the full energy peak. Scintillators such as NaI and semiconductor detectors can provide energy uncertainty of less than 10%.

Light sensors **306** view the side detectors **301** and a light guide **307**, which is coupled to the front detector **303**.

Signals **304** are passed to a processor **305** for analysis. Each detector **301** and **303** may be viewed by a different light sensor **306**, thereby assuring that the signals **304** from each detector **301** or **303** are distinct and that each particle event can be correctly associated with the detector **301** or **303** in which the interaction occurred. Although the light sensors **306** are shown at the back surfaces of the device, in an alternative embodiment the light sensors **306** may be positioned on the top or bottom surfaces of the various detectors **301** and **303** for improved light collection. In some embodiments, the processor **305** may be configured to add the signals **304** of each of the side detectors **301**, or alternatively to sum the energies detected by each of the side detectors **301**, and thereby determine the energy of the incident particle, including any energy that passes from one of the side detectors **301** to the other side detector **301**. As a further option, the processor **305** may be further configured to sum the energies of the side detectors **301** only when both side detectors **301** register a significant amount of energy. For example, the processor **305** can accept, for spectroscopic analysis, only those events in which the signals of both side detectors **301** exceed a predetermined threshold such as an energy of 100 keV or higher. The requirement that both side detectors **301** have a significant amount of energy deposited therein reduces the likelihood that some of the particle energy has escaped from the device, and may thereby improve the total energy resolution obtainable.

The front detector **303** is shown spaced forward relative to the side detectors **301** by a gap distance **322**. The front detector **303** is also shown laterally wider than the rest of the detector, or more specifically extending laterally beyond the side detectors **301** by an overhang distance **323**. The overhang **323** may result in larger area and therefore higher detection rates in the front detector **303**, and may also improve the angular sensitivity of the front detector **303**. The light sensors **306** may be small (25 mm) photomultiplier tubes or photodiode light sensors or other transducers that provide a fast, low-noise, high-sensitivity electrical pulse for each light pulse. The light sensors **306** may further include signal processing electronics such as amplifiers, filters, discriminators and the like.

In some embodiments, the side detectors **301** and front detector **303** may have strongly contrasting angular sensitivity distributions. Each side detector **301** may determine the angular response of the other side detector **301** by partially blocking the particles from one side. Consequently the two side detectors **301** may have strongly antisymmetric angular sensitivity distributions which are opposite to each other. The front detector **303**, on the other hand, may be symmetrically positioned, and therefore may have a symmetric angular sensitivity pattern. The angular correlation function may exploit the difference between the symmetric and antisymmetric angular sensitivity patterns of the side detectors **301** and the front detector **303**, thereby enabling a precise determination of the source angle from a single orientation of the device.

In some embodiments, the sign of the source angle may be related to the sign of the difference between the counting rates of the two side detectors **301**. For example, the sign of the source angle may be equal to the sign of the differential, or else the sign of the source angle may be opposite to sign of the differential, depending on which side detector **301** is subtracted from the other. The magnitude of the source angle may be related monotonically to the ratio of the differential divided by the front detector **303** counting rate, for example being related by a predetermined angular correlation func-

tion. Together, the sign and magnitude determine the full source angle uniquely, in one dimension, relative to the aiming plane.

Operationally, at the beginning of a scan, the device can start out at some initial orientation which may be in the center of an inspection zone, or at the center of the range of rotation of the device, or centered on a suspicious item, or otherwise pre-planned, or random. The device can then acquire particle detection rate data from all the detectors **301** and **303** at that initial orientation, and can then calculate the implied source angle using a predetermined angular correlation function. The angular correlation function may be stored on computer-readable media and may be read by the processor **305**; alternatively the angular correlation function may be stored within the processor **305** such as the internal ROM of a microcontroller. The angular correlation function may comprise discrete values such as a table, each value being the source angle that corresponds to each ratio value, or vice-versa. The discrete values of the angular correlation table may be linearly interpolated whenever an intermediate value is needed between the table entries. Alternatively, the angular correlation information may be stored as a mathematical function or a computer program or other algorithm that takes as input the detector data, and produces as output the best-fit source angle, in which case interpolation may not be necessary. The ability of the device to calculate both the sign and magnitude of the source angle, from data acquired at a single orientation, is extremely valuable. With knowledge of the full angle toward the source, the device can provide much better localization results and faster convergence than possible with a simple left-or-right indication.

FIG. 4 is a cross-section sketch of an exemplary embodiment of the device in which the two side detectors **401** and **411** comprise two different scintillator materials emitting detectably different light pulse shapes while a light sensor **406** views both of the side detectors **401**. For detecting gamma rays, the first side detector **401** may be PVT and the other side detector **411** may be BGO, which have pulse decay times of 5 ns and 300 ns respectively. The front detector **403** may comprise yet a third material with a distinct pulse shape, such as CdWO₄ with decay times of about 1 and 14 microseconds. In addition, the front detector **403** may be optically coupled to the two side detectors **401** and **411**, which may be optically coupled to a light guide **407**, which may be coupled to a light sensor **406**. Alternatively, the light sensor **406** may be coupled to the top or bottom surfaces of the detectors **401**, **411**, and **403**, with or without an intervening light guide **407**, in which case it may not be necessary to optically couple the front detector **403** to the side detectors **401** and **411**. In either case, the detectors **401**, **403**, and **411**, may all be viewed by the same light sensor **406**. The device can separate the various pulses according to their distinct shape for each scintillator type, and thereby determine which detector **401**, **411**, or **403** detected the particle. The depicted configuration may be economical since only one light sensor **406** may be needed.

FIG. 5 is an exemplary embodiment with two side detectors **501** and **511**, and the front detector is divided into two separate portions comprising the left front portion **503** and the right front portion **513**, each portion being oriented perpendicular to the back-to-front direction and perpendicular to the aiming plane and positioned frontward of the side detectors **501** and **511**. The left front portion **503** and right front portion **513** may abut at the aiming plane. The counting rates of the two portions **503** and **513** may be added together, thereby determining a total front detection rate, and the source angle may be determined according to the ratio of the

side detector differential divided by the total front detection rate. Thus the sum of the left and right front portions **503** and **513** may act as a single unsplit front detector for determining the source angle.

In some embodiments, the front detector portions **503** and **513** may be read out by separate sensors, and the side detectors **501** and **511** may be read out by other sensors, thereby providing separate signals for each of the detectors. In other embodiments, the front detector portions **503** and **513** may be optically coupled to their respective side detectors **501** and **511** as shown, and read out by shared sensors **506** and **516**. More specifically, the left front portion **503** may be optically coupled to the left side detector **501**, both of which are then viewed by one of the light sensors **506**, and likewise the right front portion **513** may be optically coupled to the right side detector **511** and viewed by the other light sensor **516**. Alternatively, each light sensor **506** and **516** may be mounted on the top or bottom surfaces of the respective side and front detectors (**501** and **503**, or **511** and **513**) with or without a light guide. Preferably the left front portion **503** and the left side detector **501** produce detectably different signals, and the right front portion **503** and the right side detector **501** produce detectably different signals. Herein, two signals are “detectably different” if they can be ascribed to whichever detector interacted with the particle, and thus can be separated in analysis. Such a configuration may be economical since it requires only two sensors **506** and **516**.

FIG. 6 is a cross-section sketch of an exemplary configuration of the device with two front detectors **603**, mounted proximate to each other and parallel to each other in a stack configuration. The front detectors **603** are mounted in front of the device and frontward of the side detectors **601** and orthogonal to the side detectors **601** and orthogonal to the aiming plane. The two front detectors **603** are both configured to detect the particles. Thus they double, or nearly double, the detection efficiency while maintaining a very high width-to-thickness ratio for each of the front detectors **603**, which results in improved angular resolution. The two front detectors **603** are shown spaced from the front of the side detectors **601** by a gap distance **629**. The position of the front detectors **603** may be configured so as to tailor the shape of the angular correlation function for example, or to reduce how much of the side detectors **601** are blocked by the front detectors **603** for particles arriving from certain angles. The gap distance **629** may have any value; for example, the gap distance **629** may be substantially equal to the thickness of the side detectors **601**.

In another embodiment, the side detectors **601** and the front detectors **603** may all be dual-mode detectors configured to detect both gammas and neutrons while discriminating between them according to pulse shape. For example, the front and side detectors **601** and **603**, may all comprise elpasolite scintillator. Such detectors can detect and localize a gamma ray source, and can simultaneously detect and localize a neutron source, according to the signal pulse shapes.

FIG. 7 is a top-view cross-section sketch of an exemplary embodiment of the device in a double-sided configuration. The front of the device is at the right of the sketch, and the back of the device is at the left, although the embodiment is symmetric and there is no substantial difference between front and back. Two side detectors **701**, a front detector **703**, and a back detector **704** are shown with sensors **706** mounted on the outer faces of the various detectors. Small sensors **706** such as photodiodes may be used, thereby minimizing the blocking of incoming particles. Alternatively, the sensors **706** may be mounted on the top or bottom

surfaces of the detectors **701**, **703**, and **704**. The back detector **704** may be substantially similar to the front detector **703** in shape and composition, but mounted on the back surface of the device rearward of the side detectors **701** and parallel to the front detector **703**. Both the front and back detectors **703** and **704** are perpendicular to the side detectors **701**. By comparing the detection rates of the front and back detectors **703** and **704**, the device can determine the position of the source in front or behind the device.

The sketch shows one source **710** in front of the device emitting a particle **711** toward the front detector **703**, and an alternative source **720** emitting a second particle **721** toward the back detector **704**. The device can determine whether a source is in front or behind the device by comparing counting rates in the front and back detectors **703** and **704**. The device can then determine the source angle by subtracting data associated with one of the side detectors **701** from the other side detector **701** data, thereby obtaining a differential, and then dividing the differential by data from whichever of the front and back detectors **703** or **704** has the higher counting rate, thereby obtaining a ratio, and then comparing the ratio to a predetermined angular correlation function to determine the source angle. As a practical matter, the angular correlation functions of the front and back scintillators **703** and **704** might be somewhat different due to variations in material properties or fabrication. Therefore, a separate angular correlation function may be measured experimentally and employed for each of the front and back detectors **703** and **704**. The double-sided configuration as depicted may be useful when an unknown source could be located anywhere around the device, since the device provides a 360-degree view and high detection efficiency, and thus can detect particles from any direction.

FIG. 8 is a sketch of an exemplary embodiment of the device with two side detectors **801**, two front detector portions **803**, two back detector portions **804**, an opaque separator **840** (which may be reflective), and light sensors **806** mounted on the top surfaces of the side detectors **801** to avoid blocking incoming particles. Each front and back detector **803-804** may be optically coupled to one of the side detectors **801** respectively, so that all three detectors **801**, **803**, and **804** on one side can be viewed by one of the light sensors **806**, and likewise for the detectors on the other side. The device can detect sources in front and behind the device according to whether the front detector portions **803** or the back detector portions **804** have higher detection rates. The device can determine the sign of the source angle by subtracting detection data of one of the side detectors **801** from the other, thereby obtaining a differential. The device can determine the source angle by adding the detection data of the two front detector portions **803** (if the source is in the front) or of the two back detector portions **804** (if the source is in the back), and dividing the differential by that sum to get a ratio, then applying a predetermined angular correlation function that relates the ratio to the source angle magnitude. The embodiment may be economically because only two light sensors **806** are needed.

FIG. 9 shows an exemplary embodiment of the device in perspective, partially exploded, with the front detector being divided into upper and lower portions. Two side detectors **901** may be shaped to a frontward point, in this case a 90-degree point, at the midplane **950**. An upper front detector **903** and a lower front detector **904** may then be installed across the diagonal surfaces above and below the midplane **950** respectively. The depicted device can provide a determination of both the horizontal and vertical angles of the source. The horizontal angle may be obtained by adding the

15

counting rates of the upper and lower front detector counting rates **903** and **904**, thereby obtaining a total front counting rate. Then the difference between the two side detector **901** counting rates may be found by subtraction, and that differential may be divided by the total front counting rate, and the ratio may be compared to a predetermined angular correlation function, thereby obtaining the sign and magnitude of the horizontal angle of the source.

To estimate the vertical source angle, the lower front detector **904** counting rate may be subtracted from the upper front detector **903** counting rate to obtain a vertical differential, and the two side detector **901** rates may be added to get a sum. The device may then divide the vertical differential by the sum to obtain a vertical ratio, which may then be compared to a predetermined vertical angular correlation function. Since the upper and lower front detectors **903** and **904** are perpendicular to each other in this design, the difference in their counting rates is a sensitive measure of the vertical source angle. Hence in this embodiment the vertical ratio may provide a measure of the vertical source angle magnitude as well as its sign. In addition, the vertical angle may indicate that the source is on the midplane **950** when the upper and lower front detectors **903** and **904** have substantially equal detection rates.

FIG. **10** shows in perspective, partially exploded, an exemplary configuration of the device as a cuboid sandwich array for omnidirectional detection. The device has four "orthogonal detectors" **1003**, each of which can act as a front detector. Each orthogonal detector **1003** is oriented perpendicular to the side detectors **1001** and positioned on the front, top, back, and bottom respectively of a cuboid sandwich assembly comprising the side detectors **1001**. The device can determine the source location by comparing or interpolating or otherwise fitting the signals from each of the four orthogonal detectors **1003**, thereby determining which has the highest counting rate, and thereby determining whether the source is in front, or behind, or above, or below the device. The device can then determine the source angle according to the particle detection rate of a selected one of the orthogonal detectors **1003** that has the highest particle detection rate. In addition, the detection rates in the four orthogonal detectors **1003** may be interpolated or otherwise combined to provide an improved determination of the source location.

Alternatively, the device may be turned on its side so that the aiming plane is horizontal, and can then determine the horizontal angle by comparing the counting rates in the four orthogonal detectors **1003**, and also can determine the vertical angle of the source by analyzing the side detectors **1001** divided by one of the orthogonal detectors **1003** according to the angular correlation method.

FIG. **11** shows an exemplary configuration of the device in perspective, partially exploded, comprising a hexagonal sandwich array surrounded by orthogonal detectors. The depicted device includes two hexagonal side detectors **1101** and six rectangular-shaped orthogonal detectors **1103** which are mounted against the six edge flats of the hexagonal assembly and perpendicular to the side detectors **1101**. Each orthogonal detector **1103** can serve as a front detector. The device can measure the vertical angle of the source according to the difference between the two side detector **1101** rates divided by the sum of all the orthogonal detector **1103** rates. The device can also determine the approximate horizontal angle of the source according to which of the orthogonal detectors **1103** has the highest and lowest counting rates, or by fitting the orthogonal rates to a source model, or by

16

subtracting each orthogonal detector rate from its opposite orthogonal detector, among other possible analysis means.

FIG. **12** is a cross-section sketch of an exemplary embodiment of the device including thin additional counters (shown in checkerboard) configured to detect different particles or different energy ranges than the detectors. In some embodiments, two side counters **1211** are adjacent to the two side detectors **1201** respectively, and a front counter **1213** is adjacent to the front detector **1203**. The side and front counters **1211** and **1213** may each comprise a layer or thin slab configured to detect particles such as energetic electrons or ions. The counters **1211** and **1213** may be thinner than the corresponding detectors **1201** or **1203**. For example, the thickness of the counters **1211** and **1213** may be at most 5% or 10% or 20% or other fraction of the thickness of the side detectors **1201**. The counters **1211** and **1213** may be configured to detect a different particle type than the detectors **1201** and **1203**, thereby enabling detection and localization of two different sources at the same time. For example, the processor may be configured to be communicatively coupled to the side counters **1211** and the front counter **1213**, and thereby determine the sign of the source angle by comparing signals in the two side counters **1211**, and determine the magnitude of the source angle by calculating a ratio of the signals in the front counter **1213**. Alternatively, the counters **1211** and **1213** may be configured to detect a different particle energy than the detectors **1201** and **1203**, thereby enabling detection and localization of two different energy ranges of the same particle type, such as low-energy and high-energy neutrons. The counters **1211** and **1213** may be optically coupled to the respective detectors **1201** and **1203**, thereby enabling a shared light sensor to view each detector-counter sandwich together.

In some embodiments, each side detector **1201** and the front detector **1203** may be configured to detect gamma rays while the side and front counters **1211** and **1213** may be configured to detect low-energy neutrons. For example, the detectors **1201** and **1203** may include a non-hydrogenous scintillator such as CsI or BaF₂ or BGO, and the counters **1211** and **1213** may include thin neutron-sensitive side-detector coatings such as LiF—ZnS in a transparent PMMA matrix, or an elpasolite that includes lithium or boron such as CLYC (Cs₂LiYCl₆:Ce), or a thin semiconductor with a thin lithium or boron coating.

Alternatively, the detectors **1201** and **1203** may be configured to detect neutrons while the counters **1211** and **1213** may be configured to detect gamma rays. For example, the detectors **1201** and **1203** may include PSD (pulse-shape discriminating) scintillator to detect recoil protons, while the counters **1211** and **1213** may include thin CdWO₄ for detection of low energy gamma rays.

FIG. **13** is a perspective sketch, partially exploded, of the embodiment of FIG. **12**, with two side detectors **1301** coupled to side counters **1311** respectively, and a front detector **1303** coupled to a front counter **1313**. The side detectors **1301** are viewed by sensors **1316**, and the front detector **1303** is viewed by sensors **1306**. Counters are shown in checkerboard hatch.

FIG. **14** is a cross-section sketch of an exemplary embodiment of the device including two side detectors **1401**, a front detector **1403**, and a back detector **1404**. The side detectors **1401** are beveled at their front and back surfaces by an angle **1412** configured to reduce detection of particles that pass through the front detector **1403** and then could strike the downstream side detector **1401** absent the bevel. The bevel thereby enhances the contrast between the angular sensitivity distributions of the two side detectors **1401**. The bevel

angle **1412** may be any angle that enhances the side detector **1401** contrast, such as 30 degrees or 45 degrees or 60 degrees for example.

FIG. **15** is a cross-section sketch of an exemplary embodiment of the device including two side detectors **1501**, a front detector **1503**, and a back detector **1504**. The side detectors **1501** are curved at their front and back surfaces forming a quarter-cylindrical shape configured to reduce detection of particles that could pass through the front detector **1503** and then strike the downstream side detector **1501**, absent the curved shape. The curved shape thereby enhances the contrast between the angular sensitivity distributions of the two side detectors **1501**.

FIG. **16** is a cross-section sketch of an exemplary embodiment of the device including two side detectors **1601**, a front detector **1603**, and embedded counters **1602** which are configured to detect particles. At least one embedded counter **1602** may be embedded in each of the side detectors **1601** respectively. Herein, a counter is "embedded" in a detector if the counter is completely surrounded by the detector, other than the ends of the counter which optionally may be exposed to the outside. The embedded counters **1602** may be configured to detect a different particle type than the detectors **1601** and **1603**, or the embedded counters **1602** may be configured to detect a different energy range than the detectors **1601** and **1603**. The embedded counters **1602** may be read out or viewed by sensors separate from those attached to the side detectors **1601**. Alternatively each side detector **1601** and its embedded counter or counters **1602** may be viewed by a shared sensor, in which case the side detectors **1601** and the embedded counters **1602** may comprise scintillators that emit different pulse shapes.

FIG. **17** is a cross-section sketch of an exemplary embodiment of the device including two side detectors **1701**, a front detector **1703**, two embedded counters **1702**, two sensors **1706** coupled to the two side detectors **1701** respectively, a front sensor **1716** coupled to the front detector **1703**, and two additional sensors **1726** coupled to the two embedded counters **1702** respectively. In some embodiments, the embedded counters **1702** may be isolated from the surrounding side detectors **1701** (by opaque reflective foil for example) so that the embedded counter signals can be received by the additional sensors **1726**, and the signals from the side detectors **1701** can be separately received by the sensors **1706** respectively. Thus in this configuration, the signals from the detectors **1701** are kept separate from the signals from the embedded counters **1702**.

In some embodiments, the side detectors **1701** may include a hydrogenous scintillator such as a PVT-based plastic scintillator configured to detect gamma rays as well as energetic neutrons by proton recoil, and the embedded counters **1702** may be configured to detect low-energy neutrons exclusively using, for example, ZnS—LiF blend in a PMMA matrix with a wavelength shifter. Then the hydrogenous scintillator of the side detectors **1701** may act as a moderator for the high energy neutrons, while the embedded counters **1702** may detect the moderated low-energy neutrons. In that case the time signature of the neutron detection events is quite specific, with a large pulse followed by a series of smaller pulses in the hydrogenous side detectors, and then later a large pulse in the embedded counter. The hydrogenous scintillator pulses, due to the neutron repeatedly scattering in the hydrogenous scintillator, typically span a period of 50-100 ns. The final pulse from neutron capture in the embedded detector typically occurs 1-50 microseconds later, due to the time required for a moderated neutron to drift into the embedded detector and be captured there.

The signal indicating the capture is a large multi-MeV pulse, produced in the associated embedded detector **1702**, due to energetic ions emitted into the ZnS scintillator. The characteristic time series of pulses thereby indicates that a fast neutron was detected.

The device can also detect low-energy neutrons in the embedded counters **1702** by neutron capture, but without the preceding recoil pulses in the side detector **1701** since the low-energy neutrons have already lost most of their kinetic energy.

In this way the exemplary embodiment can detect gamma rays from the source, and can localize the source according to the gamma ray detections, and can also detect fast neutrons from the source, and can also determine which side of the device the fast neutrons arrive from, and can separately detect thermal neutrons non-directionally. These parameters are likely of great interest to inspectors for identifying threat items in cargo.

FIG. **18** is a perspective sketch of an exemplary vehicle/cargo scanner comprising a large number of the directional devices **1800** arrayed around an inspection object such as a truck or shipping container **1801**. Each of the devices **1800** may be configured to detect gamma rays or neutrons or both. Each device **1800** may be configured to determine the angle of a source relative to its own aiming plane, so that the angular results from all the devices **1800** may then be combined to determine the source location in the container **1801** by triangulation. Alternatively, the devices **1800** may be configured to provide the raw data from each detector to a facility computer configured to analyze all the data together, for example using a global fit or source model to determine the most likely location of the source or sources using all of the raw the data together. Such a global analysis may be more sensitive and more accurate than analyzing each device **1800** separately, particularly when the source is faint or well-shielded.

In some embodiments, some of the devices **1800** may be mounted horizontally to measure the elevation angle of the source, while the other devices **1800** may be mounted vertically as shown. Then the data can be used to determine the three-dimensional distribution of radiation sources, using a three-dimensional source model or a 3-D fit for example. Such a global fit may also reveal the spatial distribution of sources or shields, since particles from a shielded source are likely to be scattered and redistributed across a larger volume than the source itself.

In some embodiments, some of the devices **1800** may include gamma-blind neutron-sensitive detectors, while others may include neutron-blind gamma-sensitive detectors, thereby localizing gamma sources and neutron sources separately. Alternately, the devices **1800** may include ionization-density-dependent detectors that emit detectably different signals upon detecting neutrons and gamma rays respectively. In addition, some of the devices **1800** may be configured to detect fast neutrons only, by proton recoil for example, while others are configured to detect slow neutrons only, by neutron capture. Alternatively, some devices **1800** may be configured to detect high energy gamma rays by Compton scattering, while others may be configured to detect low energy gamma rays by photoelectric absorption. Such an array can determine (a) whether a gamma ray source is present in the cargo, (b) if so, where the gamma source is, (c) whether a neutron source is present in the cargo, and (d) if so, where the neutron source is, and (e) shielding distributions for each of the above, and (f) all of the above for multiple sources at once. This information enables faster

scanning, faster localization of threats, and faster discrimination of threats from benigns compared to conventional detectors.

FIG. 19 is an elevation sketch of an exemplary embodiment of a vehicle/cargo inspection station comprising two cosmic ray tracking chambers **1901** positioned above and below an inspection object **1909** containing a threat item **1910** which emits particles (arrows), and an array of the directional devices **1900** positioned below the lower tracking chamber **1901**. The tracking chambers **1901** may be configured to measure the track directions of cosmic rays (principally GeV muons at sea level) that pass through the inspection object **1909**. The threat item **1910** generally includes very high-Z materials (Z being the atomic number) such as uranium and/or plutonium, or shielding materials comprising lead and/or tungsten and/or bismuth for example. Those cosmic rays that pass through the threat item **1910** are likely to experience large-angle scattering, at angles substantially higher than normally observed in low-Z benign cargo. Thus the cosmic ray tracking chambers **1901** can detect the presence of the threat item **1910** by detecting large-angle scattered cosmic rays that pass through a particular region of the inspection object **1909**. Simultaneously, the array of directional devices **1900** can detect gamma rays or neutrons emitted from the threat item **1910**, thereby localizing the threat item **1910**. Since the devices **1900** are all below the lower tracking chamber **1901**, any scattering introduced by the devices **1900** would have no effect on the scattering measurement. Also, the tracking chambers **1901** are typically made from low-Z materials such as hollow aluminum tubes, and therefore are relatively transparent to the high-energy gamma rays and neutrons emitted by the source. Therefore the presence of the lower scattering chamber **1901** may not significantly block the particles and thus may not prevent the underlying devices **1900** from detecting radiation signatures. The two systems may therefore avoid interfering with each other while cooperating to detect threats in two different ways.

An advantage of the combined detection modalities, of cosmic ray scattering and radiation detection, is that the two techniques can reinforce each other in detecting and localizing threat items **1910**. Another advantage is that the two modalities can thwart any attempt at concealment. For example, if an adversary tries to reduce the cosmic ray scattering signature by reducing the amount of high-Z gamma ray shielding, the gamma signature would thereby be increased, leading to faster detection of the source. And if the adversary tries to reduce the radiation signature by adding more shielding, the scattering signature would be increased. Thus the dual-modality inspection leaves the adversary with no design space for concealment.

FIG. 20 is a perspective sketch of an exemplary portable radiation survey meter in which an embodiment of the present device **2000** (hidden, shown in dash) is enclosed in a case **2011** including a display **2013** and a handle **2015** for use in measuring the horizontal angle of a source. The figure also shows a second display **2014** and a second handle **2016** mounted orthogonally on the case **2011**, thereby allowing an operator to easily turn the meter on its side, and thereby to scan for sources in the vertical direction.

Also shown notionally are an electronic compass **2017**, a GPS receiver **2018**, and a multi-axis accelerometer **2019**. The compass **2017** can measure the geographical bearing of the meter, and thereby enable the embedded processor **2005** to determine the source angle in a ground-based coordinate system. The GPS receiver **2018** can determine the spatial position of the meter, which can then be recorded internally

or transmitted to an external receiver. The position of a source can then be determined by triangulation using two measurements of the source angle made at two different locations, for example using two separate portable survey meters, or using sequential measurements by the same meter at the two locations.

The accelerometer **2019** can determine when the meter is turned on its side, thereby allowing horizontal and vertical angle measurements to be properly allocated and stored. The accelerometer **2019** with the compass **2017** can also detect when the meter is moved or rotated quickly. Whenever the meter is rapidly rotated, the displays **2013** and **2014** can be blanked, or changed to show a busy-icon for example, and may remain blanked until sufficient additional detection data are acquired to enable an updated source angle determination. In this way the device can avoid misleading the operator when the device has been rotated quickly and the directional analysis has not yet acquired sufficient data at the new orientation to update the display **2013**.

In some embodiments, the processor **2005** may be configured to analyze the detector data to determine a best-fit source angle continuously, or nearly continuously, while further data is accumulated. The processor **2005** may be configured to update the source angle determination after every particle detection event, or at preset intervals such as once per second, using whatever data had been accumulated so far. The displayed source angle may be updated continuously even while the meter is rotated, for example by weighting the most recent data higher than the oldest data so as to accommodate the changes in orientation. For example, the processor **2005** can use an averaging routine such as a time-weighted average, or incremental averaging, or a circular buffer average, or other averaging means to update the source angle continually while discarding or degrading the oldest data as conditions change.

In some embodiments, the processor **2005** may be configured to perform two parallel analyses having different integration times, such as one analysis with a short integration time and another analysis with a long integration time. In the short-integration-time procedure, the detector data may be analyzed frequently using a short integration time, and may thereby provide a rapidly updating display of the calculated angle. Concurrently, a long-integration-time analysis may proceed more slowly but may provide a steady measure of the source angle with lower uncertainty. Both the fast and slow results may be displayed simultaneously, and updated so that the operator can assess the results visually in real time. For example, the two analysis results may be displayed as a compound arrow, or other icon, having a broad portion that indicates the quick low-resolution analysis, overlain by a sharper and more stable icon according to the long-integration-time results. Alternatively, the fast and slow results may be presented using two separate indicators, or by showing other readily-distinguishable indicators on the display.

In some embodiments, the meter may include a manually-operated control that allows the operator to adjust the integration time as needed. For example, if the operator needs only a rough measure of the source angle, but needs it quickly, then the operator can adjust the integration time shorter. The meter may also include a control by which the operator can freeze the display, thereby enabling a closer review of the results. The meter may include means for recording data such as the counting rates in each detector, the calculated source angle, the presence of neutrons, the location or orientation of the device, the overall radiation level, or other data. The meter may record sound as well, so

that the operator's comments can be recorded in real time and can be played back later along with the other data. The meter can transmit the various types of data listed, and other data not listed, to an external receiver and recorded there instead of onboard the device, for additional safety in every contingency.

In some embodiments, the device may include a light beam transmitter configured to produce a light beam. In a first exemplary version, the light beam may be aligned with the device, thereby showing where the device is aimed. The light beam may be configured with an asymmetric beam shape, such as a wedge pointing left or right, thereby indicating the sign of the source angle in real-time. The beam spot may be further configured to indicate the magnitude of the source angle as well, for example being elongated when the source angle is large. The beam shape may also be reduced to a circular spot, or caused to flicker, or otherwise visibly modulated, when the source angle is small or zero, thereby indicating when the device is aimed at the source location. Such a variable light beam shape, indicating the direction of the source as well as the magnitude of the source angle, greatly assists the operator in locating a source quickly.

In an alternate exemplary version, the light beam transmitter may be configured to direct the light beam toward the calculated source location, thereby bathing the source location in a visible spot of light. This greatly assists the operator in determining the source location visually. The beam may be redirected toward the source using, for example, an articulated mirror or other variable optical element. Alternatively, the light beam transmitter itself may be mounted on a hinge or gimbal and rotated so as to aim the light beam to the calculated source location. Such a light beam, being continuously directed toward the source position, may appear to remain "sticky" or "locked on" to the source even as the meter is moved around. If the meter is rotated too quickly for the detection data to keep up, the light beam may be gated off or expanded into a broad beam indicating inconsistent data, and then when additional data is accumulated, the source location can again be determined and the light beam can resume dwelling on the source location. In this way the operator can see immediately where the source is located. The light beam may be flickered or otherwise modulated to increase visibility even in daylight.

In some embodiments, the meter may include an imaging device such as a still or video camera to record the scene, and particularly to image the source location when it is discovered. The camera may be activated during each acquisition of scintillator data, or manually by an operator, or continuously, or upon a computer command, or whenever the device is rotated, or otherwise. The light beam transmitter, if present, may be gated off or otherwise modulated while the image or images are acquired, or the beam spot may be left on so as to appear in the image. The image may be centered on the calculated source location, using a rotatable mirror or by rotating the camera, for example. As the source location uncertainty is steadily improved with additional data, the image may be zoomed in accordingly.

In some embodiments, the meter may include non-visual indicators such as acoustical or haptic indicators, which may be activated according to certain events. For example the processor 2005 may be configured to activate a haptic or acoustical pulse whenever the source angle has been updated. Alternatively, the processor 2005 may be configured to trigger the acoustical or haptic indicators when the aiming plane becomes aligned with the source. The processor 2005 may be configured to modulate the acoustical or

haptic indicators so as to indicate the left-right direction of the source, thereby further assisting the operator in finding the source without having to look away from the scene. The processor 2005 may be configured to modulate the acoustical or haptic indicators so as to indicate the magnitude of the source angle, for example being modulated more quickly as the source is approached. Two haptic indicators may be incorporated in a device handle and activated to indicate to the operator whether the source is to the right or left side of the device. The acoustical or haptic indicators may also serve as a hazard warning, by being activated in a characteristic way whenever the radiation level is above a safety threshold.

FIG. 21 shows in perspective, partially cut-away 2114, an exemplary embodiment of a walk-through portal 2111 in which a plurality of the present devices 2100 are mounted. In this portal embodiment, some of the devices 2100 may be mounted with their aiming planes horizontal so that each device 2100 can measure the vertical location of a source. The portal 2111 can thus provide a very sensitive detection of smuggled radioactive material, and can also indicate whether the material is hidden in the person's shoes or pockets or hair or body cavity or wherever. This provides enhanced safety by detecting contamination on workers, and also prevents loss or pilfering of radioactive material.

As depicted, the devices 2100 in the side posts are double-sided, such as the embodiment depicted in FIG. 7, thereby enabling detection of someone attempting to evade the portal by carrying a source around the portal 2111. The double-sided devices 2100 can then detect the presence of a radioactive source inside or outside the portal 2111. In addition, an overhead device 2113 is shown positioned for maximum sensitivity to contamination or pilferage in a worker's hat or hair. The overhead device 2113 is shown as a single-sided detector since there is no need for a double-sided device here; any attempted pilferage by climbing over the portal 2111 would be detected by the other devices 2100 since they are sensitive to radiation sources both inside and outside the portal 2111.

FIG. 22 shows in perspective an exemplary embodiment of a mobile radiation scanner vehicle 2211 which can detect hidden sources in, for example, an urban environment. The scanner vehicle 2211, partially cut-away 2212, includes a directional device 2200 as disclosed herein. The device 2200 may be elongated in the vertical direction, for example extending the full height of the scanner vehicle 2211, to maximize the detection area. As shown, the device 2200 may be of the bidirectional type as disclosed in FIG. 7, with two symmetrically placed orthogonal detectors front and back, thereby providing efficient detection of particles from all around the scanner vehicle 2211. Also shown notionally, in dash, are additional copies 2210 of the device 2200. The additional copies 2210 may be arranged as a wall of the devices 2200, along the centerline of the scanner vehicle 2211, and preferably fitting as many such devices 2200 as possible while still providing space between them to avoid self-shadowing. Also shown is an optional cosmic ray veto counter 2215 comprising a plastic scintillator, for rejecting cosmic ray backgrounds.

As the scanner vehicle 2211 is driven around an inspection region, the various detector counting rates may be recorded in real-time, along with the GPS coordinates and bearing. Due to the motion of the scanner vehicle 2211, the directional data may be sufficient to generate a radiation map of the area by fitting the results to a two-dimensional radiation distribution, for example. The radiation map may be superposed on or otherwise related to a map of the area

including buildings and other structures for example. High sensitivity may be achieved due to the large area of the array of detector devices **2200**, as well as the high efficiency and angular directionality of each device **2200**, according to some embodiments. After a detailed radiation map is completed, any future changes to the radiation distribution may be detected in subsequent scans, thereby triggering a secondary scan for confirmation and isotopic identification of the suspicious radiation pattern.

As an option, some of the devices **2200** may comprise neutron-sensitive gamma-blind detector material so as to map the neutron background distribution, while others may be neutron-blind gamma-sensitive, so that both types of radiation can be mapped at the same time. In addition, some of the devices **2200** may be configured to detect low energy gamma rays while others detect high-energy gammas, and likewise some of the devices **2200** may be configured to detect only low energy neutrons or only high energy neutrons. Most naturally-occurring background neutrons have low energy, due to multiple scattering in the atmosphere, whereas neutrons from weapon materials are generally high energy of about 1 MeV to a few MeV. Detecting even a few high energy neutrons coming from the same location may be suspicious.

FIG. **23** is a graph showing the results of a simulation using the MCNP6 software, in which simulated gamma rays from a source were detected in a simulated device according to the present disclosure. The graph shows the angular correlation function that relates the detection ratio R to the source angle, determined from the simulation results. The simulation used a configuration such as that of FIG. **1**, with 50 mm thick CsI side detectors and a 10 mm thick CdWO₄ front detector. The simulated source was a 1.0 MeV isotropic gamma source. The aiming plane was pointed at zero degrees in the simulation and the source was moved around the device from -90 to +90 degrees. The graph shows the angular correlation between the source angle and the ratio $R=D/F$ where D is the differential between the two side detector counting rates and F is the front detector counting rate. The angular sensitivities of the front and side detectors were sufficiently different that an angular correlation function as shown can determine the source angle, both sign and magnitude, from the detector rates alone.

To use the angular correlation function, the particle detection rates may first be acquired for all the detectors, and the differential D may be found by subtracting the counting rate of the first side detector from the second side detector. Then that differential may be divided by the front detector rate, thereby obtaining the ratio R. Then the source angle can be determined by finding the point on the curve that corresponds to the calculated value of R, and reading across to the vertical axis which shows the angle that corresponds to the calculated value of R. The correlation is monotonic, meaning that a unique source angle can be found for each value of R. The correlation exhibits reduced slope at high source angles above about 70 degrees, which is beneficial because the source angle can be determined with very high precision at high angles, even with few counts detected, due to that curve shape. This is in direct contrast to directional detectors that lack a front detector, which invariably exhibit a near-vertical slope at high angles, and which therefore provide no angular information at all when the source is at high angles. Using the angular correlation function shown in the figure, the device can provide a precise determination of the source angle throughout the front half-space, and particularly at high source angles where systems that lack a front counter have the worst performance.

To consider a specific example, in a particular simulation run the data obtained for the various detectors resulted in a ratio R of 1.75, which is indicated by the dashed vertical line. The angular correlation function then implies that the corresponding source angle is about 61 degrees as indicated by the dotted horizontal line. This result is close to the actual value of 60 degrees which was used in the simulation. The results shown in the graph demonstrate that the source angle can be determined within 1 degree throughout the range of -90 to +90 degrees. Furthermore, with the addition of a back counter, the source angle can be determined with equal precision throughout the entire 360-degree range.

Optionally, and equivalently, the reverse correlation function can be used instead, by reversing the horizontal and vertical axes of the chart and reading the angle from the horizontal axis corresponding to the value of R on the vertical axis. As a further option, the inverse of R (that is, the front detector rate divided by the differential) can be used to calculate the source angle; however the inverse of R becomes problematic at source angles near zero when the detection rates of the two side detectors are nearly equal.

FIG. **24** is a graph showing the results of a neutron detection simulation using MCNP6, similar to the gamma ray simulation of FIG. **23**, but now with detectors of PMMA with boron-loaded microbead scintillator, detecting 1 MeV neutrons from an isotropic source. The angular correlation function for neutrons is similar in overall appearance to the gamma ray correlation function, but differs in specific values. It again provides precise angular resolution for neutron source angles as high as 90 degrees, and about 1 degree angular resolution throughout the -90 to +90 degree range. The gamma ray and neutron simulation charts show that the source angle can be determined from detector data at a single orientation of the device, using either gamma rays or neutrons.

FIG. **25** is a flowchart showing the steps of an exemplary method for determining the source angle and optionally for rotating the device until it is in alignment with the source. First (**2501**) the side detector particle detection rates and the front detector particle detection rates may be measured with the device at an arbitrary initial orientation. Then, (**2502**) the rates for one side detector may be subtracted from the other side detector, thereby obtaining a differential. Then, (**2503**) the differential may be checked to see if it is close to zero, or within some limit of zero. If so, then the task is complete (**2508**) and the aiming plane is aligned with the source. If the aiming plane is not yet aligned with the source, the ratio R may be calculated (**2504**) by dividing the differential by the front detector rate. R may then be compared (**2505**) to a predetermined angular correlation function, and the source angle may be determined (**2506**) as the particular angle that matches the predetermined angular correlation function at the ratio R.

In some applications, it is sufficient to localize the source, and there is no need to aim the device at the source location. In that case (**2510**, shown in dash), the angle may be displayed or transmitted or otherwise reported, and the method terminates.

In other applications, the device is required to finally point directly at the source. In that case (**2507**) the calculated source angle may be compared to a threshold, such as one degree. If the source angle, as calculated from the counting rate data, is below the threshold, the device is satisfactorily aligned with the source, and the method terminates (**2508**). If the calculated source angle is not below that threshold, then the device may be rotated (**2509**) according to the calculated angle, and the rates may again be acquired (**2501**)

and the alignment criteria again tested. In most embodiments, a single rotation is sufficient to align the device with the source.

FIG. 26 is a flowchart showing an exemplary method for determining the source angle in stages. First (2601) signals may be acquired and counting rates may be calculated for the side detectors and the front detector during a first integration time. The side detector rates may be added (2602) and the sum may be compared to a predetermined background rate (2603). If the summed signals are not significantly above background levels (2604), the device may indicate that no source is present, or at least no source is yet detected. But if the sum of the side detector rates is above the normal backgrounds, then the source is detected but not yet localized. Additional data may then be acquired (2605) for the angle calculation. For example, if the sum is just barely above background, it may be necessary to acquire additional data during a second integration time to enable a reliable angular analysis.

Then, after acquiring additional data, the differential may be calculated (2606) by subtracting one of the side detector rates from the other, and the differential may be compared (2607) to a predetermined threshold. If the differential is below the threshold, this indicates that the aiming plane is sufficiently aligned with the source, and the task is done (2608). If the differential is not zero, or is not below a predetermined threshold, then additional detector data may then be acquired (2609) in a third integration time if needed for determining the magnitude of the angle. The decision as to whether this third acquisition is needed may depend on the number of counts in the front detector. For example, additional data may be required if the front detector counting rate (minus background) is comparable to its statistical uncertainty.

Then, the source angle may be calculated by dividing the differential by the front detector rate (2610) and comparing the ratio to the predetermined angular correlation function (2611). The source location or source angle may then be reported (2612) by displaying it on a screen, or transmitting it to a facility computer, or storing it in non-transient media, or otherwise responding according to the application needs.

Optionally, a fourth acquisition may be carried out to acquire more statistics and reduce the angular uncertainty in the result. If a source is present, it is usually worth taking extra data to obtain the best value possible.

Optionally, after the device has been rotated to the predicted source location, fresh detection data may be acquired there. The sequential steps shown in the chart may then be repeated, but now with the aiming plane substantially aligned with the source, such that the differential is nearly zero and the computed source angle is nearly zero, thereby confirming the alignment.

Embodiments of the device disclosed herein can provide many advantages over conventional detectors. (a) Embodiments of the device can determine the full sign and magnitude of the angle between the aiming plane and the source, across the entire range of -90 to $+90$ degrees, and (b) can do so in a single acquisition interval at a single device orientation. (c) With addition of a back detector, embodiments can determine the source angle precisely throughout the full 360-degree circle. (d) By selection of appropriate detector materials, embodiments can detect gamma rays or neutrons or both simultaneously. (e) When rotated according to the calculated source angle, embodiments can converge on the source location in only one step usually. (f) Embodiments can achieve high detection efficiency because the detectors have a direct view of the source particles regardless of the

orientation of the device, unobstructed by collimators or shields. (g) Embodiments of the device are compact, low-cost, easy to implement in an inspection environment, suitable for a variety of important security scanning applications, and virtually immune to defeat by shielding or obfuscation.

As a result of these and other advantages, the device disclosed herein can provide greatly improved inspection applications including vehicle and cargo inspection, personnel portals, portable survey meters, mobile scanners searching for radiation in the environment, and many other critical applications. Advanced radiation detection systems like those disclosed herein will be needed in the coming decades to protect innocent people from the threat of nuclear and radiological terrorism.

The embodiments and examples provided herein illustrate the principles of the invention and its practical application, thereby enabling one of ordinary skill in the art to best utilize the invention. Many other variations and modifications and other uses will become apparent to those skilled in the art, without departing from the scope of the invention, which is to be defined by the appended claims.

What is claimed is:

1. A device for locating a radioactive source comprising: two side detectors, each side detector comprising a slab configured to emit a signal upon detecting an energetic electron or ion, the two side detectors being positioned parallel to and adjacent to an aiming plane that extends from the back to the front of the device; a front detector comprising a slab configured to emit a signal upon detecting an energetic electron or ion, having a width-to-thickness ratio of at least 4, oriented perpendicular to the aiming plane, and positioned forward of the side detectors; and a processor comprising digital electronics configured to be communicatively coupled to the side detectors and the front detector, and further configured to determine, at least in part based on signals associated with the side detectors, the sign of the angle between the aiming plane and the radioactive source, and to determine the magnitude of the angle between the aiming plane and the radioactive source by performing a method comprising: measuring particle detection rates in the front detector and in the side detectors respectively; subtracting the detection rate of one of the side detectors from the detection rate of the other side detector, thereby obtaining a differential; dividing the differential by the detection rate of the front detector, thereby obtaining a ratio; and comparing the ratio to a predetermined angular correlation function that relates particle directions to particle detection data.
2. The device of claim 1, wherein the processor is further configured to reject events in which the side detectors both emit signals simultaneously.
3. The device of claim 2, further including a back detector configured to emit a signal upon detecting an energetic electron or ion, wherein the back detector is oriented parallel to the front detector and is positioned behind the side detectors.
4. The device of claim 3, wherein the processor is configured to compare signals associated with the front detector with signals associated with the back detector, thereby determining whether the source is in front or behind the device.

27

5. The device of claim 2, wherein the front detector is configured to produce a first light pulse, one of the side detectors is configured to produce a second light pulse different from the first light pulse, and the other side detector is configured to produce a third light pulse different from the first and second light pulses, and a light sensor is configured to view the front detector and both side detectors simultaneously.

6. The device of claim 2, wherein:

each side detector is configured to measure the amount of energy deposited therein with an energy uncertainty of at most 10%; and

the processor is configured to determine, when both side detectors have energies exceeding a predetermined threshold, the energy of the particle by calculating the sum of the energies deposited in the two side detectors respectively.

7. The device of claim 2, wherein the front detector comprises a left front portion and a right front portion, wherein the left and right front portions are orthogonal to the aiming plane and frontward of the side detectors, and are on opposite sides of the aiming plane respectively.

8. The device of claim 7, wherein the processor is further configured to calculate a front detection rate by adding data associated with the left front portion to data associated with the right front portion, and to determine, at least in part based on the front detection rate, the magnitude of the angle between the aiming plane and the radioactive source.

9. The device of claim 8, wherein the left front portion is optically coupled to the left side detector and the right front portion is optically coupled to the right side detector, wherein the left front portion and the left side detector are configured to produce detectably different signals, and wherein the right front portion and the right side detector are configured to produce detectably different signals.

10. The device of claim 9, further comprising a left back portion and a right back portion, wherein the left back portion is optically coupled to the back surface of the left side detector, and the right back portion is optically coupled to the back surface of the right side detector, and each of the left and right back portions is perpendicular to the aiming plane and is configured to emit a signal upon detecting an energetic electron or ion.

11. The device of claim 2, wherein the front detector is one of a plurality of front detectors positioned frontward of the side detectors in a stack configuration, wherein each of the plurality of front detectors is orthogonal to the aiming plane and is configured to emit a signal upon detecting an energetic electron or ion.

12. The device of claim 2, further comprising a front counter and two side counters, each front or side counter comprising a slab having a thickness less than 10% of the thickness of each side detector and being configured to emit a signal upon detecting an energetic electron or ion, wherein the front counter is proximate to the front detector and each side counter is proximate to one of the side detectors respectively.

28

13. The device of claim 12, wherein the processor is further configured to be communicatively coupled to the side counters and the front counter, and further configured to determine, at least in part based on signals associated with the side counters, the sign of the angle between the aiming plane and the radioactive source, and to determine, at least in part based on signals from the front counter, the magnitude of the angle between the aiming plane and the radioactive source.

14. The device of claim 13, wherein each side counter is optically coupled to one of the side detectors respectively, and the front counter is optically coupled to the front detector.

15. The device of claim 13, wherein the side detectors and the front detector are configured to detect a first particle type, and the side counters and the front counter are configured to detect a second particle type different from the first particle type.

16. The device of claim 13, wherein the side detectors and the front detector are configured to detect particles in a first energy range, and the side counters and the front counter are configured to detect particles in a second energy range different from the first energy range.

17. The device of claim 2, further comprising two embedded counters, wherein each embedded counter is configured to emit a signal upon detecting an energetic electron or ion and is embedded in one of the side detectors respectively.

18. The device of claim 17, wherein each side detector is configured to detect a first particle type and each embedded counter is configured to detect a second particle type different from the first particle type.

19. The device of claim 2, wherein:

the device includes a midplane comprising a centrally positioned plane orthogonal to the aiming plane and extending from the back to the front of the device;

the front detector is divided into an upper front detector and a lower front detector, wherein the upper front detector and the lower front detector abut at the midplane and are perpendicular to each other; and

the processor is configured to determine whether the source is above or below the midplane by comparing signals of the upper front detector with signals of the lower front detector.

20. The device of claim 2, wherein:

the side detectors form a cuboid sandwich assembly;

the device includes four orthogonal detectors, each orthogonal detector being perpendicular to the side detectors and mounted adjacent to the cuboid sandwich assembly, and does not include a shield;

the processor is configured to determine, at least in part based on signals associated with each of the orthogonal detectors respectively, whether the source is in front, behind, above, or below the device.

* * * * *